## DEPARTMENT OF BENEFIT PAYMENTS 744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



February 20, 1976

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 76-33

T0:

COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

Superseded by ACL \$77-15

Issued 3-/7->>

SUBJECT: ESTIMATING AND BUDGETING ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

REFERENCE:

My letter of January 30, 1976, requested county input to the new estimating and budgeting system for county administrative costs in the AFDC and Food Stamp programs. We have received a great deal of input from the CWDA Fiscal Committee as well as other county participants in the February 10, 1976 Fiscal Committee meeting. We have incorporated all the suggestions made by this group into the attached questionnaire, which will be used for estimating county administrative costs. I am sure you are aware that this is the first time that the Department of Benefit Payments has attempted to budget on an individual county-by-county basis and we appreciate the input provided by all counties.

The attached questionnaire will be the device for the counties to provide input to the department on their needs for FY 1976/77. In order for the department to be able to gather the data from all 58 counties and for the data to be put into the system, all questionnaires must be received by DBP with a postmark of no later than March 15, 1976. The following three points must be adhered to when providing all data within the questionnaire so that consistency may be maintained for all counties:

- 1. Increases in FY 76/77 are to be based on the amount actually claimed in FY 74/75, not the amount budgeted.
- 2. Include no cost-of-living provisions for salaries or other areas in FY 76/77, as the department will be using a standard cost-of-living factor for all counties.
- 3. DBP staff has completed some of the FY 74/75 data on the questionnaire. If there is any doubt about this data, please contact CAEC prior to completing the form.

The department will be concentrating its efforts in this estimating and budgeting system on those counties that were frozen at the 1974/75 level and whose activity rates were in excess of tolerance as required by the Cost Control Plan. An example of this is a county that carried 135 continuing cases per worker in 1974/75 while the upper tolerance limit was 119 cases per worker. The same concept will be applied in the area of intake costs, support costs, and the NAFS Program.

Those counties that do not feel a need to move from their 1974/75 levels of activity and support costs simply may indicate such in a letter to the department or on the questionnaire and the estimating and budgeting system will automatically provide increases for caseload and cost of living. For those counties that do plan to move from 1974/75 levels, the questionnaire must be completed.

In order to insure consistency in responses to all counties, the following individuals will answer all questions regarding the estimating and budgeting system. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Paul Williams, Charlie Kjer, or Bob Hing at 916/322-5802.

Sincerely,

GARY G. ADAMS Deputy Director

Attachment

cc: CWDA

County	Contact Person	Title
	Telephone	
	Nirector's Signature	

#### COST CONTROL IMPACT QUESTIONNAIRE

It is imperative that you answer each of the following questions as completly as possible. Answers to these questions will be considered when your allocations in AFDC and NAFS for FY 1976/77 are determined. When answering questions about FY 1976/77 projections, do not include any budgeted county cost-of-living increases. All questions which ask for increases or decreases in FY 1975/76 and FY 1976/77 are to be answered using FY 1974/75 actual expenditures as the base. If you have any concerns or questions, please contact Charles Kjer, Paul Williams or Bob Hing at 916/322-5802.

#### STAFF

#### A. AFDC STAFF DISTRIBUTION

Complete the matrix below. All increases and decreases must be compared using FY 74/75 as the base. Include all personnel who time study to the AFDC Program.

		FY 1974/75	FY 1975,	/76 Compare	d to FY 1974	/75	FY 1976	77 Compar	ed to FY 197	4/756/
		Monthly	Net Monthly	J	lustification2/		Net Justification4/		1	
Classifi- cation		fi- Average Average Changes Changes In Staffing increase In Act		Changes In Activity per Worker	Changes In Other3/		Changes in Caseload	Changes in Activity per Worker	Other5/	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Z	EW									
A K E	SUP									
00	£₩									
7	SUP									
Q/	EW									
c i	SUP									

<sup>1/</sup> FY 1974/75 Cumulative WACC Report ÷ 12.

Other	changes	:	 	 	 	 	 

<sup>2/</sup> Changes in Columns 4, 5 and 6 must equal net change in Column 3.

<sup>3/</sup> Identify Other changes in the space below.

<sup>4/</sup> Changes in Columns 8, 9 and 10 must equal net change in Column 7.

<sup>5/</sup> Identify Other changes in the space below.

<sup>6/</sup> Do not include CETA Personnel.

#### B. NAFS STAFF DISTRIBUTION

Complete the matrix below. All increases and decreases must be compared using FY 74/75 as the base. Include all personnel who time study to the NAFS Program.

		FY 1974/75	FY 19	75/76 Comp.	ared to FY 19	74/75	FY 19	76/77 Comp	ared to FY 1	974/75 <u>6</u> /
			Net Monthly		Justification2	/	Net Monthly		JustIficatIon4	/
(	Classifi- cation		Average Increase or (Decrease)	Changes  n Caseload	Changes in Activity per Worker	Other3/	Average Increase or (Decrease)	Changes in Caseload	Changes in Activity per Worker	Other5/
Ĺ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
-2-	EW						-			
A K E	SUP									
с 0	EW									
Ζ <b>,</b>	SUP									
Q /	EW			,						
C	SUP									
	Total Staff	1/								

1/	FΥ	1974/75	Cumulative	WACC	Report	(Total	of EW	and	ΕW	supervisors)	<del>-</del> 12.
		13, 4, , 4	Garrana				~ · · · · ·	4,14		amber Atacia)	. 1 - •

Other changes:		
c.		anent part-time EW's do you have in FY 1975/76? 's are on permanent status, but work less than
	AFDC	NAFS
D.	1975/76? (1)	rmittent EW's do you use or plan to use in FY termittent EW's are all other EW's who are not l time or permanent part time.)
	AFDC	NAFS

<sup>2/</sup> Changes in Columns 4, 5 and 6 must equal net change in Column 3.

<sup>3/</sup> Identify Other changes in the space below.

<sup>4/</sup> Changes in Columns 8, 9 and 10 must equal net change in Column 7.

<sup>5/</sup> Identify Other changes in the space below.

<sup>6/</sup> Do not include CETA Personnel.

Ε.	services w	nonthly averag workers, child o time study.	suppo	rt worke	rs, and	their super	
		Eligibilit Workers	y S	ocial Ser Worker:		Child Sup Worker	
	FY 1974/75		<del></del>				
	FY 1975/76	)	<del></del>				
	FY 1976/77				··· <u>·</u>		
F.	CETA						
	of CETA pe	the following ersonnal in easume that the	ch fun	ction. \	When com	pleting the	last 76.
		FY 1974/75	FY	1975/ <b>7</b> 6	Full-T	ime Budgeted P fective July 1, 1	ositions
AFDC In	take						
AFDC Co	ont.						
AFDC Q.	c. [						
NAFȘ Int	take						
NAFS Co	ont.						
All Other	and the second s						
Eligibility	Programs						
Social Ser Progran							
Support I	Pool						
Total							
G.	number of Support Po and cleric Number of	lanning to inc filled positi pols in FY 75/ cal salaries D filled positi r increase/dec	ons in 76 as 0FA 325 ons in	your Ad compared .1 Group creased	ministra to FY 7 II A1 a	ative and Cl 74/75? (Adm and A2)	erical inistrativ d

н.	number of f Support Poo	illed positions 1 in FY 76/77 a	ase or decrease the s in your Administr as compared to FY 7 325.1 Group II A1	ative and Clerical 4/75? (Administrative
	Number of f	illed positions	s increased	/decreased
	Reason for	increase/decrea	ase	
١.	What was/wi	ll be the dolla	ar (\$) cost for <b>ove</b>	rtime.
		EW and EW Supervisors	Administrative Support	
	FY 1974/75	\$	\$	\$
	FY 1975/76	\$	\$	\$
	FY 1976/77	\$	\$	\$
J.	number of fi programs in	illed social wo	use or decrease the orker positions in ompared to FY 74/75 Group I A.)	Social Services
	Number of fi	illed positions	increased	/decreased
к.	number of fi programs in	illed social wo	se or decrease the orker positions in t ompared to FY 74/79 Group I A.)	Social Services
	Number of fi	illed positions	increased	/decreased
L.	How many pay	periods do yo	u have per quarter	?
		1 <u>st</u> qtr.	2 <u>nd</u> qtr. 3 <u>rd</u> q	rt. 4 <u>th</u> qtr.
	FY 1975/76			
	FY 1976/77			

	A.	Do you anticipate any increase or decrease in space costs in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75? YesNo
		\$ Percentage increase
		Dollar Increase \$ Decrease \$
		Why?
	В.	Do you anticipate any increase or decrease in space costs in FY 1976/77 as compared to FY 1974/75?YesNo
		\$ Percentage increase % \$ Percentage Decrease %
		Dollar Increase \$ Decrease \$
		Why?
111.		EL AND OTHER OPERATING COSTS (cars, equipment, postage, etc.) 325.1 Group II A 3 and Group II B)
	Α.	Did you have any expenses in FY 74/75 which will not occur in FY 75/76? Yes No
		Total cost for items \$
		Reason for expenses:
	В.	Did you have any expenses in FY 74/75 which will not occur in FY 76/77? YesNo
		Total cost for items \$
		Reason for expenses:

II. SPACE (DFA 325.1 Group II B I)

C.	In FY 75/76, have you or are you planning any expenses which did not occur in FY 74/75? Yes
	Total cost for items \$
	Reason for expenses:
D.	In FY 76/77, are you planning any expenses which did not occur in FY 74/75? YesNo
	Total cost for items \$
	Reason for expenses:
	(Include direct or memo billed EDP and A87 EDP) (DFA 325.1 up 11 C4 and 5)
	up II C4 and 5)
Grou	Are you experiencing an increase or decrease in CWD EDP costs in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75?
Grou	Are you experiencing an increase or decrease in CWD EDP costs in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75? Y
Grou	Are you experiencing an increase or decrease in CWD EDP costs in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75? Y No  \$ Percentage Increase % \$ Percentage decrease
Grou	Are you experiencing an increase or decrease in CWD EDP costs in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75? Y No  \$ Percentage Increase % \$ Percentage decrease Dollar Increase \$ Decrease \$ Why? Are you anticipating an increase or decrease in CWD EDP costs in FY 1976/77 as compared to FY 1974/75? Y
A.	Are you experiencing an increase or decrease in CWD EDP costs in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75?Y
A.	Are you experiencing an increase or decrease in CWD EDP costs in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75?Y

	С.	Is CWD EDP being utilized in your Child Support Program in FY 1975/76? Yes No
	D.	Will CWD EDP be utilized in your Child Support Program in FY 1976/77? Yes No
	Ε.	What date will the EDP Social Services Reporting System be effective in your county?
	F.	Do you anticipate any major EDP feasibility studies, expansion, or programming changes in FY 1975/76 as compared to FY 1974/75?
		Yes No
		\$ Percentage Increase%
		Increased Dollar Cost \$
		Has a plan been submitted to DBP for approval? Yes
		Do you have DBP approval? Yes No
	G.	Do you anticipate any major EDP feasibility studies, expansion, or programming changes in FY 1976/77 as compared to FY 1974/75?
		Yes No
		\$ Percentage Increase%
		Increased Dollar Cost \$
		Has a plan been submitted to DBP for approval? Yes
		Do you have DBP approval? Yes No
۱.	A-87	(Other than space and EDP) (DFA 325.1 Group II C I)
	Α.	Are you experiencing an increase or decrease in CWD A-87 costs (other than space and EDP) in FY 75/76 as compared to FY 74/75? YesNo
		\$ Percentage Increase% \$ Percentage Decrease%
		Dollar Increase \$ Decrease \$
		Reason:

# VI. DIRECT COSTS (DFA 325.2 Group III B)

Α.	AFDC Program
	On a monthly average, how many welfare fraud investigators (including supervisors) did you direct charge to the AFDC Program in FY 74/75?
	Projected in FY 75/76?
	Projected in FY 76/77?
В.	NAFS Program
	On a monthly average, how many welfare fraud investigators (including supervisors) did you direct charge to the <u>NAFS</u> Program in FY 74/75?
	Projected in FY 75/76?
	Projected in FY 76/77?
c.	Food Stamp Issuance Costs
	Complete the following matrix showing the total cost and

Method	FY 1974/75		FY 1975/76		FY 1976/77	
	Total Cost	Cost per Transaction	Total Cost	Cost per Transaction	Total Cost	Cost per Transaction
Contracted over-the-counter						
Internal over-the-counter						
PAW						
Direct Mail						
Total Cost						

### VII. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

List and fully explain any other costs or changes which your county feels should be considered when your allocations are determined (attach additional sheets as necessary).
Applicable to FY 1975/76:
Applicable to FY 1976/77: